Perceived Stress and Its Associated Factors Among Nursing Students Regarding Clinical Learning Environment

Binita Adhikari,¹ Jenny Ojha¹ ¹School of Health and Allied Sciences, Pokhara University

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Stress is a state of mental or emotional strain resulting from demanding circumstances. This study aims to assess the perceived stress and its associated factors among nursing students regarding clinical learning environment.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 172 Proficiency Certificate Level nursing students of second year. The respondents were selected using lottery method and information collected using the semi-structured self-administered questionnaire. Descriptive and inferential statistics with Chi-square test were performed.

Results: The mean age of the respondents was 18.17±0.612. The study revealed that more than half (54.7%) students had Moderate stress, 41.3% had Mild and 4.0% had Severe stress regarding clinical learning environment. The clinical learning environment related factors such as: using or handling unfamiliar equipment (χ^2 =7.646,df=1, p=0.006), Interpersonal relationship with clinical supervisor (χ^2 =7.516,df=1,p=0.006) were significantly associated with perceived stress regarding clinical learning environment.

Conclusions: The study revealed that more than half (54.7%) students had Moderate stress, 41.3% had Mild and 4.0% had Severe stress regarding clinical learning environment.

Keywords: Nursing students, perceived stress, clinical learning environment, associated factors

INTRODUCTION

Stress is specific response by our body to a stimulus that disturbs normal functioning. A stressor is an event or any stimuli that cause an individual to experience stress.¹ According to Donald Tube sing "Stress is like Spice-in the right proportion it enhances the flavour of a dish. Too little produces a bland, dull meal; too much may choke you." Stress depends upon individuals perception because one kind of events may be stressful to one person but may not be stressful to another person.²

The stress is called health epidemic of 21st century and stress was increased by 10 to 30 percent among all demographic groups in the USA.³ According to the data of research conducted at university of UK, 82% of students suffer from stress and anxiety and 45% have experienced depression.⁴ It also varied from 70%, 75% and 60% as the prevalence of stress among students due to academic, clinical and environmental factors respectively in Pakistan.⁴ In India 97% of the students had moderate stress and 3% had severe stress. In Nepal 61.5% of students have moderate stress 27.9% have severe stress and 10.6% have mild stress.⁵

The nursing curriculum is formulated with clinical as well as theory of the related subject. Most of the times during the study of nursing, students are involved in clinical learning environment. Therefore they may face various stressors in clinical learning environment.⁵

45.9. Among different sources of stress the main source of stress was students' assignment, patients' and stress from teachers and nursing staff whereas the least perceived source of stress was lack of professional knowledge and skills.⁶

In India among nursing students, factors associated with stress were the environmental factors (40%) the interpersonal factors (30%), academic factors 19% contribution whereas the intrapersonal factors minimally.⁷In Nepal most common stresses among students were personal-environmental stress, clinical stress and academic stress.⁸

The common sources of stress among nursing students in clinical learning environment basically include: academic-related stress such as assignments, lack of knowledge, examination, teaching style; clinical practice for example, lack of competence, taking care of death and dying, shift, supervision, status of patient, handling emergency situations, interpersonal relationships related (patients, peers, teachers or other health professionals) and personal and social factors.¹⁰⁻¹³

This study aims to assess the perceived stress and its associated factors among nursing students regarding clinical learning environment.

In Jordan the mean of stress perceived by nursing students was

Correspondence: Jenny Ojha, School of Health and Allied Sciences, Pokhara University, E-mail: Joyfuljenny01@gmail.com

METHODS

A cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in clinical setting of five nursing colleges of Pokhara Metropolitan which were selected randomly using lottery method. Students studying PCL nursing in second year were involved in the study. We calculated sample size considering the prevalence of clinical related stress 75% at Allied Hospital, Faisalabad, Punjab⁷ with probable error 5%. The sample size was 172. From the selected colleges all students of PCL second year were involved in this study.

The study was conducted using self-administered questionnaire which was divided into 3 parts: Socio-demographic part, 20 items questions for assessing Perceived stress, Questions related to the some of the clinical learning environment related factors of stress respectively. The second part of the questionnaire was an adopted tool from DASS scale and Perceived Stress Scale where the required modification were done relating the tool to clinical learning environment related factors. This part consist of 20 questions related to the clinical learning environment to assess the level of perceived stress. For each of the questions 'Yes' was worth 1 point and each 'No' was worth 0 point except question number 13 and 14 where 'Yes' was worth 0 and 'No' was worth 1. The total score is 20 which was divided into different perceived stress level according to the score as:

Perceived Stress Level	Score
Mild	0-6
Moderate	7-13
Severe	14-20

In order to make the questionnaire more convenient, reliable and practicable, pretesting was done among 10% of the sample. Validity was established by seeking opinion of the subject experts and research advisors and the suggestion given by them was subsequently incorporated in the questionnaire. The nursing students of PCL nursing second year from the selected nursing colleges were selected and the students not willing to participate and give consent and students absent at the time of the study were excluded.

The data was collected after getting ethical approval from the institutional review committee of Pokhara University. The official permission was taken from the authority of the selected nursing colleges. The purpose of the study was explained to the respondents and informed consent was obtained from all the respondents. Privacy and confidentiality was maintained. After collection of the data, checking compiling was done manually on the same day of data collection. The collected data was coded and entered in SPSS 16.0. Result of the analysis were expressed in terms of descriptive and inferential statistics. Chi-square test was used to measure the strength of association between variables. P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants

This section deals with the analysis of socio-demographic characteristics of 172 participants and presented in the table below. There was no any non-response and incomplete information.

Variables	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	
Age			
≤19	167	97.1	
≥ 20	5	2.9	
Mean=18.17(SD±0.612)			
Religion			
Hindu	141	82.0	
Buddhist	24	14.0	
Christian	7	4.0	
Ethnicity			
Brahmin	27	15.7	
Chhetri	35	20.3	
Dalit	7	4.1	
Janajati	103	59.9	
Family type			
Nuclear	133	77.3	
Joint	36	20.9	
Extended	3	1.8	
Wealth quantile			
<40000	42	24.4	
40000-50000	51	29.7	
50000 - 60000	18	10.4	
60000 - 100000	61	35.5	
(Min.=20000, Max.=100000)			

On the basis of literature found wealth index has been classified.

Level of perceived stress of the participants

This section deals with the analysis and interpretation of data to assess the level of perceived stress of the participants which is described in the table below:

Characteristics	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)		
Mild Stress (0-6)	71	41.3		
Moderate Stress (7-13)	94	54.7		
Severe Stress (14-20)	7	4.0		

Among total 172 participants, 54.7% of students have Moderate level of Stress, 41.3 % have Mild level of stress and 4.0% participants have severe stress regarding clinical learning environment.

Description of clinical learning environment related factors This section deals with the analysis and interpretation of data related to the responses of the participants on Clinical Learning Environment related factors. Since this study is about identification of stress of nursing students when they are exposed to clinical setting, the table below shows nursing student's responses on various factors related to clinical setting.

Table 3: Responses of participants on clinical learning environment related Factors(n=172)

Clinical Learning related factors	Environment	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)		
Handling or using unfan	Handling or using unfamiliar equipments				
Yes		161	93.6		
No		11	6.4		
Hospital setting					
Surgical		63	36.6		
Medical		76	44.2		
Emergency		26	15.1		
Others		7	4.1		
Shift					
Morning		35	20.4		
Evening		62	36.0		
Night		75	43.6		
Feedback from clinical s	supervisor in-fro	ont of others			
Yes		136	79.1		
No		36	20.9		
Patients serious disease	condition				
Yes		135	78.5		
No		37	21.5		
IPR with peer					
Good		154	89.5		
Fair		17	9.9		
Poor		1	0.6		
IPR with clinical superv	isor				
Good		89	51.7		
Fair		73	42.4		
Poor		10	5.9		
IPR with health profession	onals				
Good		80	46.5		
Fair		70	40.7		
Poor		22	12.8		

Data in table 3 describes that among clinical learning environment related factors majority of the students (93.6%) perceived stress while "Handling or using unfamiliar equipments". On the clinical learning environment related factors, "Hospital Setting" 44.2% of the students perceived Medical setting as stressful, followed by 36.6% students perceived Surgical as stressful setting , 15.1% students perceived Emergency setting as stressful and 4.1% students perceived 'Others' setting as stressful which includes Lab. On the Shift of clinical posting, 43.6% students perceived Night shift stressful, 36.0% students perceived Morning shift as stressful. Furthermore, majority of the students (78.5%)

perceived stress because of patients serious disease condition. Moreover, on the IPR related factors of Clinical Learning Environment, 89.5% students had Good IPR with peer, 51.7% students had Good IPR with Clinical Supervisor and 46.5 % students had Good IPR with Health Professionals.

Association of perceived stress with socio-demographic variables

The statistical analysis was performed to show the association of perceived stress with socio-demographic variables among nursing students.

Table 4: Association of perceived stress with socio-demographic variables

37	Total Score (Median)			1	
variables	\swarrow χ^2 va $\leq 7 > 7$	- χ^2 value	p-value		
Age					
≤19	85	82	0.221	0.680 (fisher exact)	
≥20	2	3	0.231		
Ethnicity					
Janajati	51	52	0.117	0.732	
Others	36	33			
Religion					
Hindu	73	68	0 4 4 4	0.505	
Others	14	17	0.444	0.505	
Family					
type	66	(7			
Nuclear	66 21	67 18	0.215	0.643	
Joint	21	18			
Family monthly					
income ≤50000	46	47	0.101	0.750	
≤30000 >50000	41	38	0.101	0.750	

*Statistically significant at p<0.05, χ^2 =3.841, df=1

The results show that no significant relationship exists between perceived stress and demographic variables such as age (p=0.680), ethnicity (p=0.732), religion (p=0.505), family type (p=0.643), monthly income (0.750) among PCL 2^{nd} year nursing students. This result is based on the result seen as per literature search which was done with median score.

Association of perceived stress with clinical learning environment related factors

The statistical analysis was performed to show the association of perceived stress with clinical learning environment related factors among nursing students which is shown in the table 6.

Variables	Total Score (Median)		χ^2 value	P-value		
	≤7	>7	χ ² value	P-value		
Using or handling u	Using or handling unfamiliar equipments					
Yes	10	1	7.646	0.006*		
No	77	84	/.040			
Hospital setting						
IPD	69	70	0.257	0 (12		
Others department	18	15	0.257	0.612		
Shift						
Day	43	54	2 479	0.062		
Night	44	31	3.478			
Feedback from clinical supervisor						
Yes	22	14	2.020	0.115		
No	65	71	2.020	0.115		
Patients serious disease condition						
Yes	20	17	0.227	0.633		
No	67	68	0.227			
IPR with peer						
Good	80	74	1.100	0.294		
Poor	7	11	1.100			
IPR with clinical supervisor						
Good	54	35	7.516	0.006*		
Poor	33	50	/.510			
IPR with health professionals						
Good	44	36	1.1.0	0.280		
Poor	43	49	1.168			

Table 5: Association of perceived stress with clinical learning environment related factors

*Statistically significant at p<0.05 , χ^2 =3.841, df=1

The clinical learning environment related factors such as: using or handling unfamiliar equipment ($\chi^2=7.646$,df=1, p=0.006), Interpersonal relationship with clinical supervisor ($\chi^2=7.516$,df=1,p=0.006) were significantly associated with perceived stress regarding clinical learning environment.

DISCUSSION

This study revealed that among 172 students more than half of the total students 54.7% have moderate level of stress and less number of students 4.0% have severe stress. It is consistent with previous study, Stress and Coping mechanism of Nursing students during Clinical Practice in Ghana which was conducted to assess clinical related stress among nursing students which also revealed that more than half of (59%) respondents experienced moderate to high levels of stress.⁹ And another study at National Institute of Nursing Education, PGIMER, Chandigarh concluded that majority of the students had moderate stress (63.6%), and less number of students had severe stress (2.3%) students.¹² The result of this study is similar to the finding of the study on the topic Level of Stress and Coping Strategies used by Nursing Students in Asian Countries: An integrated literature review which concluded that most of the studies reported that the nursing students experience moderate stress levels.¹³

In this study, the level of stress among PCL level nursing students regarding clinical learning environment or field of clinical practice revealed that majority of students perceived moderate level of stress which is similar to the finding related to Bachelor level nursing students study conducted on Hong Kong which showed that bachelor students also perceived moderate level of stress in clinical practice.⁹ Another among bachelor level nursing students have moderate to high stress in clinical practice.⁸ These findings show that every nursing students have moderate stress in clinical practice despite of their level of strey.

This study revealed that there is no statistically significant association exists between stress and sociodemographic variables such as age (p=0.680), ethnicity (p=0.732), religion (p=0.505), family type (p=0.643), family monthly income (p=0.750). Consistent with this findings a study conducted among nursing students at MCHOMS also illustrated no statistically significant association of stress with socio-demographic variables like age, religion, family type.¹⁴ Another study conducted on MMIHS revealed the similar result of no statistically significant association of stress with demographic variables like type of family and family income whereas this same study on MMIHS show contrast result with this present study which shows that the stress is significantly associated with age.⁶

In this study perceived stress was significantly associated with the Clinical learning environment related factors such as: using or handling unfamiliar equipment and interpersonal relationship with clinical supervisor. In contrast to this finding another research conducted on Karachi, Pakistan among nursing students to find out association of stress with clinical factors show that clinical factors like physical status of the patients, condition of the client assigned, interaction with staff nurse, exposure to contagious disease are significantly associated with nursing student's stress.¹⁰ This may be due to difference in clinical settings among nursing students according to the countries. Furthermore, the present study was conducted among PCL level nursing students which showed clinical learning environment related factors such as: using or handling unfamiliar equipment and Interpersonal relationship with clinical supervisor were significantly associated with perceived stress which is different from the findings with the study conducted among Bachelor level nursing students in Saudi Arabia concluded that there was statistical significance of clinical stressors factors like taking care of patients' subscale and clinical environment subscale with total perceived stress scale through t-test analysis.¹⁵ From these studies it can be concluded that there are different clinical factors associated with the stress among nursing students regarding clinical learning environment or clinical settings according to their difference in clinical settings and level of study.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that more than half of the nursing students were having Moderate level of perceived stress regarding clinical learning environment. Furthermore it was found that there was association between associated factors like: using or handling of unfamiliar equipment in clinical environment and IPR with clinical supervisor with perceived stress regarding clinical learning environment. After completion of the research, the nursing colleges were informed about the data of this research and the respective nursing colleges were informed for providing the students with stress management trainings and counseling before taking them to clinical learning environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to extend thoughtful appreciation to all the respondents who participated in the study. Our special thanks to the Institutional Review Committee of Pokhara University for providing permission for the conduction of the study.

REFERENCES

 Sharma M. Nursing concepts and principles. 1st ed. Baneshwore, Kathmandu: Medhavi Publication; 2012. 83-84 p.

- Townsend C. M. Psychiatric Mental Health Nursing. 1st ed. Jaypee Brothers : India ; 2012, pp. 2–10.
- Fink G.Stress: The Health Epidemic of the 21st Century. Techconnect. elsevier.com. SciTech Connect;2016 [cited 2018 Jul 26].
- Veiber L. Southampton: University of Southampton; 2017 Aug [cited 2018 Jul 26].
- Shrestha T. Stress among PCL nursing students of TUIOM nursing campuses in the Kathmandu Valley. J Inst Med. 2014;36(2).
- Bista B, Bhattrai B, Khadka N. Stress and Coping Mechanisms among nursing students in Kathmandu. J Manmohan Meml Inst Health Sci. 2018 Feb 9;3(1):16.
- A P , S I.Evaluation of factors of stress among Nursing Students.Adv Pract Nurs.2017;2(2):4
- Akhu-Zaheya L, Shaban I, Khater W. Nursing students' perceived stress and influences in clinical performance. Int J Adv Nurs Stud. 2015 Jun 17;4(2):44.
- 9. Sharma N, Kaur A. Factors associated with stress among nursing students. Nursing Midwifery Res J. 2011;7(1).
- Kausar T, Ali TS, Gul R. Associated factors of stress among nursing students in their clinical settings.T Malasyan J Nurs.2014;5(2):7.
- 11. Aedh DAI, Elfaki DNK, Mohamed DIA. Factors associated with stress among nursing . students(Najran University - Saudi Arabia) Saudi Arab:7.
- 12. Singh C, Sharma S, Sharma RK. Level of stress and coping strategies used by nursing interns. 2011;7(4):9.
- Younas A. Levels of stress and coping strategies used by nursing students in Asian countries : An Integrated Literature Review. J Middle East North Afr Sci. 2016;2(4):50–7.
- 14. Shrestha S, Lama R. Stress/Stressors as perceived by Nepalese nursing students.Int J of Nurse Res and Prac.2014;1(2):1–8.
- Ismaile S. Perceived clinical stressors among Saudi nursing students. Open J Nurs. 2017 Apr 17;07:463.